



Project Identification Form (PIF) entry – Full Sized Project – GEF - 7

Integrated Landscape Management Gambia (INLAMAG) Project

Part I: Project Information

GEF ID

10572

Project Type

FSP

Type of Trust Fund

GET

CBIT/NGI

☐ CBIT

☐ NGI

Project Title

Integrated Landscape Management Gambia (INLAMAG) Project

Countries

Gambia

Agency(ies)

IFAD

Other Executing Partner(s)

Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources

Executing Partner Type

Government

GEF Focal Area

Land Degradation

Taxonomy

Focal Areas, Land Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Community-Based Natural Resource Management, Land Degradation Neutrality, Land Productivity, Land Cover and Land cover change, Influencing models, Strengthen institutional capacity and decision-making, Stakeholders, Gender Equality, Gender Mainstreaming, Beneficiaries, Capacity, Knowledge and Research, Knowledge Exchange, Learning, Theory of change, Adaptive management, Capacity Development

Rio Markers**Climate Change Mitigation**

Climate Change Mitigation 2

Climate Change Adaptation

Climate Change Adaptation 2

Duration

60 In Months

Agency Fee(\$)

441,418

Submission Date

4/21/2020

A. Indicative Focal/Non-Focal Area Elements

Programming Directions	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
LD-1-1	GET	2,663,702	8,658,389
LD-1-4	GET	1,444,880	15,962,134
LD-2-5	GET	600,000	3,595,477
Total Project Cost (\$)		4,708,582	28,216,000

B. Indicative Project description summary**Project Objective**

To create an enabling environment for an integrated landscape approach in support of SLM and LDN implementation in The Gambia

Project Component	Financing Type	Project Outcomes	Project Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
-------------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	------------	----------------	-------------------

Component 1: Enabling environment for SLM and LDN mainstreaming and implementation (LD2.5)	Technical Assistance	1.1 Increased institutional capacities, and enhanced cross sectors and governance mechanisms for SLM and LDN mainstreaming and implementation by: by: (i) improved in national institutions capacities for SLM and LDN (ii) number of functional new governance frameworks and plans for SLM and LDN	1.1.1 Develop one Institutional Capacity Development Plan, including at least 4 training (collaborative land-use decision planning, multi-stakeholder approaches, SLM, LDN) for 4200 beneficiaries; 10 Farmers Field School (FFS), one vocational education center (Songhai Centre) 1.1.2. One open-access knowledge platform for landscape planning system is developed for land-use decision-making, targeting, partnerships, research and resource mobilization , LDN monitoring 1.1.3 One land use management plan is developed in cooperation with relevant national and international partners, leading to its effective SLM and LDN 1.1.4. One integrated watershed plan is developed for SLM and LDN, water user's management plan with clear cross-sectoral governance, implementation structures. 1.1.5. One land use management plan is developed in cooperation with relevant national and international partners, leading to its effective SLM and LDN	GET	600,000	3,036,263
--	----------------------	--	---	-----	---------	-----------

Component 2: Implementation of Integrated landscape planning and management to reduce land degradation (LD1.4)	Investment	Improved, coordinated and collaborative management of Landscape (14,500 ha of degraded productive landscapes in 11 districts, contributing 13.3% to 109 000 ha LDN national target) enhancing their ecological integrity and ensuring better agricultural production and livelihoods	2.1.1 Five (5) Integrated water and soil management practices are promoted by 700 households through dikes, and conservation agriculture (1,500 ha) 2.1.2 12,000 ha of degraded agricultural production landscapes are rehabilitated and restored through assisted natural regeneration focusing on locally adapted species and- Improved bushfire management (7,500 ha); woodlots using multipurpose tree species, agro-silvo- pastoral practices (4,500 ha);) 2.1.3 1,000 ha of woodlots are integrated into the sustainable wood and biomass energy supply chain leading to improved community forestry and indigenous community conservation management 2.1.4. Community monitoring system for soil erosion and vegetation cover is established using the 3 project-level indicators (land cover change, land productivity and SOC) and inform the open-access knowledge platform	GET	2,303,071	13,763,512
--	------------	---	---	-----	-----------	------------

Component 3: Promote SLM for Climate Smart Agriculture for improved agricultural, rangeland and pastoral management (LD1.1)	Investment	<p>3.1 Improved agricultural, rangeland and pastoral management on 22,000 ha for better livelihoods for 700 households (or 4,830 people), contributing 20.2% to 109 000 ha LDN national target</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[1] Based on the role and representation of women in the proposed project activities</p>	<p>3.1.1 5,000 ha are under agroforestry and sustainable and diversified cropping systems</p> <p>3.1.2. 2,000 ha are under Integrated Crop-Livestock Systems to optimizes the uses of crop and livestock resources</p> <p>3.1.3. Participatory SLM plan developed on 15,000 ha (mixing different techniques such as soil bunds, stone lines, etc. to reduce water runoff and soil erosion in productive agricultural land</p> <p>3.1.5. 1,000 Jambar cooking stoves distributed to 1,000 households to reduce the use of charcoal and fuelwoods which contribute to soil erosion and general land degradation</p> <p>3.1.6. Communities (7), 50 women associations and Technical services,150 Extension services workers in 11 districts, capacity is enhanced on SLM for climate smart agriculture leading to improved Agricultural and livestock production</p>	GET	1,084,249	7,002,889
---	------------	---	--	-----	-----------	-----------

Component 4: Project Monitoring the Project's Contribution to Neutrality	Technical Assistance	4.1 Monitoring of land cover changes, land productivity and soil organic carbon carried out in line with project-level indicators in contribution to national LDN agenda	4.1.1 1 (one) monitoring plan established and agreed upon by key stakeholders 4.1.2 1 (one) LDN information hub operationalized as a mechanism for sharing and verification of monitoring data, including the dissemination of lessons learned to target audience	GET	500,000	3,036,264
Sub Total (\$)					4,487,320	26,838,928
Project Management Cost (PMC)						
GET					221,262	1,377,072
Sub Total(\$)					221,262	1,377,072
Total Project Cost(\$)					4,708,582	28,216,000

C. Indicative sources of Co-financing for the Project by name and by type

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Investment Mobilized	Amount(\$)
GEF Agency	IFAD	Grant	Investment mobilized	17,016,000
Donor Agency	Agence Francaise de développement (AFD)	Grant	Investment mobilized	11,200,000
			Total Project Cost(\$)	28,216,000

Describe how any "Investment Mobilized" was identified

The investment mobilised is mixed and coming from various sources such as IFAD baseline investment and the AFD who are providing grant resources for the project. As the country has a limited capacity, grant resources were identified as the best mechanism for funding this project in alignment with Government recommendation.

D. Indicative Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)	Total(\$)
IFAD	GET	Gambia	Land Degradation	LD STAR Allocation	4,708,582	441,418	5,150,000
Total GEF Resources(\$)					4,708,582	441,418	5,150,000

E. Project Preparation Grant (PPG)

PPG Required



PPG Amount (\$)

150,000

PPG Agency Fee (\$)

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)	Total(\$)
IFAD	GET	Gambia	Land Degradation	LD STAR Allocation	150,000		150,000
Total Project Costs(\$)					150,000	0	150,000

Core Indicators

Indicator 3 Area of land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
14500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 3.1 Area of degraded agricultural land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
10,000.00			

Indicator 3.2 Area of Forest and Forest Land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 3.3 Area of natural grass and shrublands restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
4,500.00			

Indicator 3.4 Area of wetlands (incl. estuaries, mangroves) restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 4 Area of landscapes under improved practices (hectares; excluding protected areas)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
22000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 4.1 Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity (hectares, qualitative assessment, non-certified)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------

--	--	--	--

Indicator 4.2 Area of landscapes that meets national or international third party certification that incorporates biodiversity considerations (hectares)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------

--	--	--	--

Type/Name of Third Party Certification

Indicator 4.3 Area of landscapes under sustainable land management in production systems

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------

22,000.00			
-----------	--	--	--

Indicator 4.4 Area of High Conservation Value Forest (HCVF) loss avoided

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Documents (Please upload document(s) that justifies the HCVF)

Title	Submitted
-------	-----------

Indicator 6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)	0	0	0	0
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)	3173914	0	0	0

Indicator 6.1 Carbon Sequestered or Emissions Avoided in the AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use) sector

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)				

Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)	3,173,914
Anticipated start year of accounting	2021
Duration of accounting	20

Indicator 6.2 Emissions Avoided Outside AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use) Sector

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)				
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)				
Anticipated start year of accounting				
Duration of accounting				

Indicator 6.3 Energy Saved (Use this sub-indicator in addition to the sub-indicator 6.2 if applicable)

Total Target Benefit	Energy (MJ) (At PIF)	Energy (MJ) (At CEO Endorsement)	Energy (MJ) (Achieved at MTR)	Energy (MJ) (Achieved at TE)
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

**Target Energy Saved
(MJ)**

Indicator 6.4 Increase in Installed Renewable Energy Capacity per Technology (Use this sub-indicator in addition to the sub-indicator 6.2 if applicable)

Technology	Capacity (MW) (Expected at PIF)	Capacity (MW) (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Capacity (MW) (Achieved at MTR)	Capacity (MW) (Achieved at TE)
-------------------	--	--	--	---------------------------------------

Indicator 11 Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment

	Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
Female	9,041			
Male	6,159			
Total	15200	0	0	0

Part II. Project Justification

1a. Project Description

1b. Project Map and Coordinates

Please provide geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions will take place.

2. Stakeholders

Select the stakeholders that have participated in consultations during the project identification phase:

Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities

Civil Society Organizations

Private Sector Entities

If none of the above, please explain why:

In addition, provide indicative information on how stakeholders, including civil society and indigenous peoples, will be engaged in the project preparation, and their respective roles and means of engagement.

3. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Briefly include below any gender dimensions relevant to the project, and any plans to address gender in project design (e.g. gender analysis).

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment?

closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

improving women's participation and decision-making; and/or

generating socio-economic benefits or services for women.

Will the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators?

4. Private sector engagement

Will there be private sector engagement in the project?

Please briefly explain the rationale behind your answer.

5. Risks

Indicate risks, including climate change, potential social and environmental risks that might prevent the Project objectives from being achieved, and, if possible, propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the Project design (table format acceptable)

6. Coordination

Outline the institutional structure of the project including monitoring and evaluation coordination at the project level. Describe possible coordination with other relevant GEF-financed projects and other initiatives.

7. Consistency with National Priorities

Is the Project consistent with the National Strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions

If yes, which ones and how: NAPAs, NAPs, ASGM NAPs, MIAs, NBSAPs, NCs, TNAs, NCSAs, NIPs, PRSPs, NPFE, BURs, INDCs, etc

8. Knowledge Management

Outline the Knowledge management approach for the Project, including, if any, plans for the Project to learn from other relevant Projects and initiatives, to assess and document in a user-friendly form, and share these experiences and expertise with relevant stakeholders.

Part III: Approval/Endorsement By GEF Operational Focal Point(S) And Gef Agency(ies)

A. RECORD OF ENDORSEMENT OF GEF OPERATIONAL FOCAL POINT (S) ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT(S): (Please attach the Operational Focal Point endorsement letter with this template).

Name	Position	Ministry	Date
Lamin B Dibba	Minister of Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources	Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources	8/5/2019
ESMF			3/23/2020
PIF 1st resubmission tracked			4/17/2020
PIF 1st resubmission clean			4/17/2020
Review Sheet			4/17/2020
PIF 2nd resubmission clean-changes in green			4/20/2020
2nd Review sheet			4/20/2020
PIF 3rd resubmission clean-changes in yellow			4/21/2020
3rd Review sheet			4/21/2020
Gambia OFP endorsement letter			4/23/2020
PIF 4th resubmission			4/24/2020

ANNEX A: Project Map and Geographic Coordinates

Please provide geo-referenced information and map where the project intervention takes place



