



Global Environment Facility

May 02, 2008

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE COUNCIL'S DISCUSSIONS GEF COUNCIL MEETING APRIL 22-24, 2008

1. The following is a record prepared by the Secretariat of comments, understandings and clarifications of certain points made by Council Members during discussions of agenda items and related decisions. The Joint Summary of the Chairs records the decisions agreed by the Council. These points are supplemental to the Joint Summary.

Agenda Item 5 Relations with the Conventions

2. A number of Members raised the desirability of coordinating and harmonizing the guidance to the GEF from the various conventions and called for enhanced synergies among convention-related activities. The matrix showing UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) COP guidance and the proposed GEF response was lauded as a very useful tool.

3. The issue of the CBD resource mobilization strategy was debated extensively. Several Council Members questioned the role assigned to the GEF as contained in a draft information document to be submitted to the next CBD COP. The CBD Secretariat and the GEF Secretariat will work with a few Council Members on alternative language that reflects the stage of deliberations that will take place at COP-9 regarding the potential role(s) of the GEF *vis a vis* the CBD resource mobilization strategy proposal.

4. One Council Member noted the reduction in the number of regional and global projects. The Secretariat explained that the reasons for this apparent reduction would be looked at in the context of the on-going mid-term review of the Resource Allocation Framework (RAF), but that the amount of money available in the global and regional set-aside under the RAF was limited.

5. A number of Council Members expressed concern over the relatively slow rate of submission of National Implementation Plans to the Stockholm Convention Secretariat from countries that had received GEF support and encouraged such countries to submit their Plans.

6. The Secretariat briefed Council on the outcomes of the Bali Decision, including the request to the GEF to provide secretariat services, on an interim basis, to the Adaptation Fund. The Secretariat also informed Council of the conclusions of the first meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board, held last month in Germany. The GEF CEO confirmed that dedicated Secretariat staff are currently working part-time servicing the Fund on a full cost recovery basis.

Agenda Item 6 Four Year Work Program and FY09 Budget of the Evaluation Office

7. Many Council Members emphasized the importance of having OPS4 completed in time to inform the GEF5 replenishment process and negotiations. They requested the Office to complete OPS4 in an expedited manner, and early, if possible.

8. Several Council Members supported the initial list of key questions for OPS4, as presented in the annex. Furthermore, many Council Members supported the process proposed by the Office for the development and approval of the OPS4 terms of reference: an approach paper will be presented for consultation with all stakeholders in early May. On this basis, draft TORs will be presented to Council for comments and suggestions. Final TORs will be sent out by mail for Council approval in mid-July. The TORs will include the budget for OPS4.

9. Several Council Members indicated that it is very important to have a full consultation process with all key GEF stakeholders regarding the RAF mid-term review and OPS4. Both processes need to be inclusive.

Agenda Item 7 Annual Performance Report

10. Many Council Members expressed appreciation for the introduction of a performance matrix and its ratings. The Evaluation Office clarified that many ratings had been reported in previous APRs, and that Agencies are in the process of improving performance where needed.

11. Several Council Members called attention to the findings regarding co-financing. The Evaluation Office explained that fluctuations in levels of co-financing are to be expected and that over time trends will become clearer.

Agenda Item 8 Annual Country Portfolio Evaluation Report

12. Council Members representing constituencies where the evaluations were conducted noted that results of these reports reflected very well the situation in the sampled countries and in the other countries in their constituencies, even if they did not fully take the regional perspectives into account.

13. One Council Member drew attention to the fact that the transboundary issues noted in section (a) of the Council decision on this agenda item would be limited to issues addressed through GEF projects.

14. Another Council Member pointed out that “national processes” in section (c) of the Council decision refers to national political processes, which he felt was reflecting a general perception in the discussion in the Council.

Agenda Item 9 Annual Monitoring Review Report 2007

15. The report was praised for providing a clear set of data on GEF activities. Some Council Members recommended that lessons learned from GEF projects should be emphasized in the future.

16. Other Members felt that the issue of data availability remains a challenge faced by recipient countries. They recommended that all GEF partners (the Secretariat, Agencies, countries) should upgrade the amount and quality of information they have on GEF activities. One Council Member requested that information on SIDS should be segregated to reflect their specificity.

17. A call was made for closer dialogue between countries and Agencies, particularly with respect to exchange of project data.

18. Several Council Members suggested the development of indicators that could track performance trends over time, and one Council Member suggested the incorporation into future reports of an indicator that captures how effectively Agencies deal with problem projects.

19. A Council Member raised concern about the rigor of the performance ratings relied upon in the report, given that they are self-ratings by the Agencies.

Agenda Item 10 Small Grants Programme

20. The Small Grants Program (SGP) was praised by many Council Members. Some Council Members called for strengthening its monitoring and evaluation aspects.

21. Several Council Members expressed concern about the criteria for graduation, and stressed the need for flexibility and for designing a process that would allow for continuity of the programme in countries that have graduated. Some Members supported the idea of allowing the use of RAF allocations as an option for post-graduation continuity. One Council Member cautioned such use of RAF allocations should have a limit. A Council Member suggested that LDCs and SIDS should not be subject to graduation.

22. One Council Member highlighted the importance of addressing gender issues in SGP projects, while other Members noted the SGP already addresses gender well in its implementation.

23. The NGO representative acknowledged that NGOs serving in oversight steering committees should not receive funds from the SGP to avoid any conflict of interest.

Agenda Item 11 Clarifying the Programmatic Approach

24. Council Members welcomed and praised the proposed programmatic approach document. Some Members raised concerns over the proposed project cycle in the programmatic approach, which they found lengthy and complex. They argued that the approval process of the program should be streamlined and made flexible and simple.

25. Some Members understood that one sub-section, providing criteria for determining whether use of a programmatic approach would be appropriate, could lead to conditionality in the use of programmatic approaches. It was clarified that the programmatic approach is meant to be an option for countries, and that this paragraph will be dropped.

26. One Member proposed to include a chapter in the Annual Monitoring Review to allow Council to take stock of and give guidance on the new programmatic approach when appropriate.

27. The CEO provided clarification on a number of issues raised by Members, including how the programmatic approach can provide incentives for Agencies to work with countries with group or small allocations. She also explained that money from cancelled projects under programmatic approaches would return to the specific country RAF allocation or other section of the GEF Trust Fund from which they came. The CEO also took note of Members' recommendation to the Secretariat to prepare itself to better manage the national, regional and global consultations that would be required for the success of the new programmatic approach.

Agenda Item 12 Compliance of the GEF Agencies on the Implementation of the Recommended Minimum Fiduciary Standards

28. Some Council Members suggested that a consultant carry out further analysis of the data provided by the Agencies in the annexed reports. The consultant will report in one year. The terms of reference will be prepared by the Secretariat and shared with Council Members. In drafting the TOR, the Secretariat will take into account the functions already undertaken by any external audits of Agencies, in order to avoid potential overlaps.

Agenda Item 13 Technology Transfer

29. Council Members' views differed widely on aspects of the document; although there was general agreement on the value of developing further the TNAs and TMAs. Council Members raised concerns about the limited number of reports that have been made available from previously funded TNAs. Moreover, the proposal to establish technology-sector platform committees was called into question by more than one Council Member.

30. While a consensus emerged that a paper must be submitted to the SBI, the vast differences of opinion among Council Members regarding the current document and its content meant that Council could not agree to submitting a revised version of the document to the SBI.

31. A new, revised factual report is to be prepared, circulated for approval and forwarded to the SBI. The report will describe the GEF's work to date on financing technology transfer and current financing options for technology transfer.

Agenda Item 14 Review of Administrative Expenses Allocated to GEF Implementing Agencies

32. Members expressed their disappointment over the lack of clear, factual information on administrative costs, consistently reported across the implementing Agencies.

Agenda Item 15 (a) Work Program

33. Council Members welcomed and complimented the Work Program. Many Council Members expressed their support for the increasing number of programmatic approaches and cited their benefits over and above regular stand-alone projects.

34. Some Council Members commented on the lack of projects covering the area of access and benefit sharing (ABS). The CEO attributed the lack of ABS projects to the lack of submissions. The Secretariat attaches high importance to ABS and is in consultation with the CBD on the subject.

35. Comments were also raised regarding inclusion in this work program of some persistent organic pollutants (POPs) projects in countries which have not prepared a National Implementation Plan (NIP). It was clarified that countries can prepare projects in the POPs area as long as a NIP is ready or has made significant progress by the time the project comes for CEO endorsement or approval.

Agenda Item 15 (b) Status Report on the Brazil: Externally-fired Combined Cycle (EFCC) Advanced Technology Cogeneration Project

36. The working document was presented by the Secretariat, and the decision was adopted without additional comment from Council Members.

Agenda Item 16 GEF Business Plan and Corporate Budget FY2009

37. Several Members raised concerns about the large increase in budget requested by the Trustee and complimented the other GEF entities on requesting only a 3 percent nominal increase.

38. Members requested to include additional resources in the Secretariat's budget to cover the cost of the consultant cited in the decision under agenda item 12.

Agenda Item 17 Operational Policies and Guidance for the Use of Non-grant Instruments

39. Most Council Members expressed support for an increased use of non-grant instruments in the GEF, in particular to further engagement with the private sector, provided that the principle of incremental cost applies and that the level of concessionality is rightly tailored for each kind of project and abides by the DAC requirements. However, different Council Members underscored that the use of such instruments should remain voluntary and country-driven, and stressed the need for the development of specific skills and knowledge within the Secretariat. One Council Member also stressed the importance of avoiding duplication of the work of Multilateral Development Banks and suggested that the GEF focus mainly on micro-credit and guarantees.

40. Many Council Members recommended providing better incentives for the use of non-grant instruments. However, opinions varied on how this should be achieved. Some advocated a set-aside for non-grant projects in GEF-5, while others emphasized the risk of a fragmentation of GEF resources and suggested instead that reflows from non-grant projects should be re-programmed to benefit the same country. The possibility of a more far-reaching reform, whereby the RAF would be based on the grant equivalent of the financing provided instead of the gross financing was also mentioned.

41. At the request of several Council Members, the CEO and the representative of the Trustee provided clarification on the hypothetical case of defaults on non-grant projects funded by the GEF. The CEO stressed that there will be no “blacklisting” of countries that default.

42. The CEO agreed to circulate a new document that takes into account Council Members’ comments; in particular, the section on incentives will be removed, and language on the GEF’s policy toward defaults will be added. The CEO reflected that she thought the issue of non-grant instruments should be part of the GEF-5 replenishment discussions.

Agenda Item 18 Progress on the Implementation of the GEF Strategic Approach to Capacity Development

43. Council Members expressed overall appreciation for this program and thanked the Secretariat for the report. They stressed the need to work with LDCs and SIDS, and one Council Member questioned whether available resources were adequate to meet demand from the countries.

44. Another Council Member suggested ensuring a stronger link between ongoing work on capacity building at the national level and the GEF’s work on capacity building.

Agenda Item 19 Progress on the Implementation of the RAF

45. Several Council Members expressed concern about the slow uptake in programming resources allocated under the RAF, particularly in small allocation and group allocation countries. A number of Council Members identified the reasons for the slow rate of programming in countries within their constituencies -- lack of transparency in tracking projects that have been submitted, limited willingness of GEF Agencies to partner with countries with small allocations, rigidity and lack of clarity in policies and procedures, and delays introduced by the need to submit supplemental information that cannot be accommodated in the PIF. A few Members highlighted some positive experiences with the RAF and their ability to have their projects approved quickly.

46. Members questioned the basis for the Secretariat’s prediction that the RAF programming rate would accelerate in 2008. The Secretariat indicated that most of the expected increased programming rates during 2008 will occur in countries with individual allocations. The Secretariat is mounting efforts to similarly improve programming in group countries through programmatic approaches.

47. The Secretariat provided a demonstration to Council of the new country profile page on the GEF website, which enables countries to track their projects.

Agenda Item 20 Report of the Chair of STAP

48. The STAP Chair made a statement on the work of STAP.

49. Council praised the STAP Chair and the quality of the document. One Member asked the GEF and STAP to further develop alliances and networks. The NGO representative suggested developing a greater exchange between local community knowledge and modern science.

50. The STAP Chair concurred that networking strategic alliances needs to extend beyond the UN expert centers towards the use of bilateral expert sources, including think tanks. She said that STAP was already building these links and that regional links have been established.

51. Some Council Members advised STAP to work toward becoming more visible and increasing communication about its work.

52. A Council Member reacted to STAP's mention of the desirability of focusing on synergies between mitigation and adaptation in GEF-5. He expressed a willingness to talk about synergies between mitigation and adaptation and requested STAP to demonstrate its added value in this work.

Agenda Item 21 Proposal of the Executive Director of UNEP on the Reconstitution of STAP

53. The spokesperson for the Executive Director of UNEP gave the list of the new appointees on the STAP board. Some Council Members requested adequate representation of developing countries in the STAP board. The UNEP spokesperson regretted that despite their effort, it was not possible to reach a perfect gender and regional balance this time.

Global Environment Facility Trust Fund

Table 1: Record of Resources Requested for Projects and Resulting Council Decisions
Council Meeting of 04/24/2008

					Project Funding						
					Amounts Requested for Funding			Amounts Approved by Council			Comments
Grant Projects only											
GEF ID	Agency	Joint/ Program	Project Title	Country	Project	Fee	Total	Project	Fee	Total	
Biodiversity											
2967	UNEP		BS Regional Project for Implementing National Biosafety Frameworks in the Caribbean Sub-region - under the GEF Biosafety Program	Regional (Antigua And Barbuda, Barbados, Dominica, St. Kitts And Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago)	3,344,043	334,404	3,678,447	3,344,043	334,404	3,678,447	
3548	IADB		Marine and Coastal Biodiversity Conservation	Ecuador	4,000,000	423,000	4,423,000	4,000,000	423,000	4,423,000	
3590	UNDP		Mainstreaming Biodiversity in the Coffee Sector in Colombia	Colombia	2,000,000	200,000	2,200,000	2,000,000	200,000	2,200,000	
3592	UNDP		Conservation of Biodiversity in the Indigenous Productive Landscapes of the Moskitia	Honduras	2,018,300	215,930	2,234,230	2,018,300	215,930	2,234,230	
3604	UNDP		Mainstreaming Traditional Knowledge Associated with Agrobiodiversity in Colombian Agroecosystems	Colombia	2,500,000	250,000	2,750,000	2,500,000	250,000	2,750,000	
3607	UNDP		Application of a Regional Approach to the Management of Marine and Coastal Protected Areas in Cuba's Southern Archipelagos	Cuba	5,710,000	577,000	6,287,000	5,710,000	577,000	6,287,000	
3609	UNDP		Strengthening the Financial Sustainability and Operational Effectiveness of the Venezuelan National Parks System	Venezuela	7,179,327	727,273	7,906,600	7,179,327	727,273	7,906,600	
3626	UNEP		PAS The Micronesia Challenge : Sustainable Finance Systems for Island Protected Area Management - under the GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability	Regional (Micronesia, Palau, Marshall Islands)	5,454,545	545,455	6,000,000	5,454,545	545,455	6,000,000	
3637	UNDP		SFM Transforming Management of Biodiversity-rich Community Production Forests through Building National Capacities for Market-based Instruments - under the Sustainable Forest Management Program	Mexico	6,900,000	690,000	7,590,000	6,900,000	690,000	7,590,000	
3651	UNEP		BS Development and Implementation of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS) - under the GEF Biosafety Program	Cameroon	2,400,000	240,000	2,640,000	2,400,000	240,000	2,640,000	
Sub-total Biodiversity					41,506,215	4,203,062	45,709,277	41,506,215	4,203,062	45,709,277	
Climate Change											
2942	UNDP		Promote Energy Efficiency in Buildings	Turkey	2,620,000	272,000	2,892,000	2,620,000	272,000	2,892,000	
3177	UNDP		Facilitating Sustainable Mobility in Tehran	Iran	5,325,000	535,000	5,860,000	5,325,000	535,000	5,860,000	
3537	World Bank		Mexico Rural Development	Mexico	10,500,000	1,050,000	11,550,000	10,500,000	1,050,000	11,550,000	
3552	World Bank		IND Chiller Energy Efficiency Project - under the Programmatic Framework for Energy Efficiency	India	6,300,000	630,000	6,930,000	6,300,000	630,000	6,930,000	
3565	UNDP		Market Transformation of Energy Efficient Appliances in Turkey	Turkey	2,710,000	271,000	2,981,000	2,710,000	271,000	2,981,000	
3596	EBRD		RUS Improving Efficiency in Public Buildings in the Russian Federation - under the Energy Efficiency Umbrella Program	Russian Federation	9,210,000	921,000	10,131,000	9,210,000	921,000	10,131,000	
3597	EBRD		RUS Improving Urban Housing Efficiency in the Russian Federation - under the Energy Efficiency Umbrella Program	Russian Federation	9,670,000	967,000	10,637,000	9,670,000	967,000	10,637,000	
3598	UNDP		Buildings Sector Energy Efficiency Project (BSEEP)	Malaysia	5,000,000	500,000	5,500,000	5,000,000	500,000	5,500,000	
3599	IADB		Promoting and Strengthening an Energy Efficiency Market in the Industry Sector	Chile	2,637,000	263,700	2,900,700	2,637,000	263,700	2,900,700	
3624	UNDP		Promoting Energy Efficiency in Public Buildings	Uzbekistan	3,250,000	340,000	3,590,000	3,250,000	340,000	3,590,000	
Sub-total Climate Change					57,222,000	5,749,700	62,971,700	57,222,000	5,749,700	62,971,700	
International Waters											
1375	UNDP		Reducing Transboundary Degradation in the Kura-Aras basin	Regional (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Iran)	3,150,000	387,333	3,537,333	-	-	-	Project withdrawn by Agency
2544	UNDP		Implementation of The Dnipro Basin Strategic Action Program for the reduction of persistent toxics pollution	Regional (Belarus, Ukraine)	2,035,000	273,500	2,308,500	2,035,000	273,500	2,308,500	

Global Environment Facility Trust Fund

Table 1: Record of Resources Requested for Projects and Resulting Council Decisions
Council Meeting of 04/24/2008

					Project Funding							
					Amounts Requested for Funding						Amounts Approved by Council	Comments
Grant Projects only												
GEF ID	Agency	Joint/Program	Project Title	Country	Project	Fee	Total	Project	Fee	Total		
2586	UNDP/UNEP	Yes	PAS Implementing Sustainable Integrated Water Resource and Wastewater Management in the Pacific Island Countries under the GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability	Regional (Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Nauru, Niue, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu)	9,025,186	974,814	10,000,000	9,025,186	974,814	10,000,000		
2701	UNDP		Development and Adoption of a Strategic Action Program for Balancing Water Uses and Sustainable Natural Resource Management in the Orange-Senqu River Transboundary Basin (RESUBMISSION)	Regional (Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa)	6,300,000	700,000	7,000,000	6,300,000	700,000	7,000,000		
3519	UNDP		Reducing and Preventing Land-based Pollution in the Rio de la Plata/Maritime Front through Implementation of the FrePlata Strategic Action Programme	Regional (Argentina, Uruguay)	2,850,000	300,000	3,150,000	2,850,000	300,000	3,150,000		
3521	UNDP		Joint Actions to Reduce PTS and Nutrients Pollution in Lake Baikal through Integrated Basin Management	Regional (Russian Federation, Mongolia)	2,630,000	275,000	2,905,000	2,630,000	275,000	2,905,000		
3522	UNDP		CTI Arafura and Timor Seas Ecosystem Action Programme (ATSEA) - under the Coral Triangle Initiative	Regional (Indonesia, East Timor, Papua New Guinea)	2,500,000	250,000	2,750,000	2,500,000	250,000	2,750,000		
3524	UNDP		CTI Sulu-Celebes Sea Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SCS) - under the Coral Triangle Initiative	Regional (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines)	2,890,000	289,000	3,179,000	2,890,000	289,000	3,179,000		
3559	World Bank		Strategic Partnership for a Sustainable Fisheries Investment Fund in the Large Marine Ecosystems of Sub-Saharan Africa (Tranche 1, Installment 2)	Regional (Africa)	15,600,000	1,560,000	17,160,000	15,600,000	1,560,000	17,160,000		
3620	UNDP		The Caspian Sea: Restoring Depleted Fisheries and Consolidation of a Permanent Regional Environmental Governance Framework	Regional (Azerbaijan, Iran, Kazakhstan, Russian Federation, Turkmenistan)	4,700,000	500,000	5,200,000	4,700,000	500,000	5,200,000		
3639	UNDP/ADB	Yes	CTI GEF IW: LEARN: Portfolio Learning in International Waters with a Focus on Oceans, Coasts, and Islands and Regional Asia/Pacific and Coral Triangle Learning Processes under the Coral Triangle Initiative	Global	2,700,000	270,000	2,970,000	2,700,000	270,000	2,970,000		
Sub-total International waters					54,380,186.00	5,779,647.00	60,159,833.00	51,230,186.00	5,392,314.00	56,622,500.00		
Land Degredation												
3276	UNDP		Promoting Sustainable Land Management in Las Bambas	Peru	4,000,000	400,000	4,400,000	4,000,000	400,000	4,400,000		
Sub-total Land Degredation Programs					400,000.00			4,000,000.00 400,000.00				
Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)												
2926	UNIDO		Environmentally Sound Management and Disposal of Obsolete POPs Pesticides and Other POPs Wastes	China	9,959,000	995,900	10,954,900	9,959,000	995,900	10,954,900		
3281	World Bank		Persistent Organic Pollutant Stockpile Management and Technical/Institutional Capacity Upgrading	Belarus	5,500,000	550,000	6,050,000	5,500,000	550,000	6,050,000		
3282	UNDP		Establishment of PCB Waste Management and Disposal System	Brazil	4,733,000	473,300	5,206,300	4,733,000	473,300	5,206,300		
3542	UNIDO		Capacity Building For Environmentally Sound PCBs Management And Disposal	Mongolia	2,650,000	265,000	2,915,000	2,650,000	265,000	2,915,000		
3614	UNEP		DSSA Demonstrating and Scaling Up Sustainable Alternatives to DDT for the control of vector borne diseases in Southern Caucasus and Central Asia	Regional (Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan)	2,045,000	204,500	2,249,500	2,045,000	204,500	2,249,500		
3622	World Bank		Integrated POPs Management Project: Dioxins and Furans, PCB and Contaminated Sites Management	Philippines	8,640,000	888,000	9,528,000	8,640,000	888,000	9,528,000		
Sub-total Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)					33,527,000	3,376,700	36,903,700	33,527,000	3,376,700	36,903,700		
Multi-Focal Area/ Corporate Programs												
2369	IFAD		PRC-GEF An IEM Approach to the Conservation of Biodiversity in Dryland Ecosystems - under the PRC-GEF Partnership on Land Degradation in Dryland Ecosystem Program	China	4,545,000	486,000	5,031,000	4,545,000	486,000	5,031,000		
2505	UNEP/UNDP	Yes	SFM Sustainable Forest Management in the Transboundary Gran Chaco American Ecosystem - under the Sustainable Forest Management Program	Regional (Argentina, Bolivia, Paraguay)	6,863,636	736,364	7,600,000	6,863,636	736,364	7,600,000		

Global Environment Facility Trust Fund

**Table 1: Record of Resources Requested for Projects and Resulting Council Decisions
Council Meeting of 04/24/2008**

Project Funding											
Amounts Requested for Funding							Amounts Approved by Council			Comments	
Grant Projects only											
GEF ID	Agency	Joint/ Program	Project Title	Country	Project	Fee	Total	Project	Fee	Total	
2631	IFAD		MENARID Mainstreaming Sustainable Land Management Practices	Jordan	6,445,000	676,000	7,121,000	6,445,000	676,000	7,121,000	
2632	IFAD/U NIDO	Yes	MENARID Participatory Control of Desertification and Poverty Reduction in the Arid and Semi Arid High Plateau Ecosystems of Eastern Morocco	Morocco	6,000,000	635,000	6,635,000	6,000,000	635,000	6,635,000	
2709	IFAD		MENARID Support to Sustainable Land Management in the Siliana Governorate	Tunisia	5,000,000	535,000	5,535,000	5,000,000	531,500	5,531,500	* Adjustment to PPG fees - (\$3,500) previously not reflected in the Work Program
2732	UNDP		MENARID Institutional Strengthening and Coherence for Integrated Natural Resources Management	Iran	4,320,000	434,500	4,754,500	4,320,000	434,500	4,754,500	
2762	World Bank/IFAD/UNDP	yes	SFM Country Program Framework for Sustainable Forest Land Management (PROGRAM)	Vietnam			-			-	
2975	World Bank		Mindanao Rural Development Program Phase II - Coastal and Marine Ecosystem Conservation Component	Philippines	6,486,363	662,136	7,148,499	6,486,363	662,136	7,148,499	
3574	World Bank		Mainstreaming Biodiversity in Sustainable Cattle Ranching	Colombia	7,000,000	700,000	7,700,000	7,000,000	700,000	7,700,000	
3589	ADB		PAS Coastal and Marine Resources Management in the Coral Triangle: Southeast Asia - under the GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability	Regional (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines)	10,310,000	1,031,000	11,341,000	10,310,000	1,031,000	11,341,000	
3591	ADB		PAS Coastal and Marine Resources Management in the Coral Triangle of the Pacific - under the Pacific Alliance for Sustainability Program	Regional (Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, Palau, Micronesia, Fiji, Timor Leste, Vanuatu)	8,336,450	833,645	9,170,095	8,336,450	833,645	9,170,095	
3647	ADB/UNDP/FAO/WB	yes	CTI The Coral Triangle Initiative (PROGRAM)	Regional (Indonesia, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Timor Leste, Micronesia, Fiji, Palau, Vanuatu)			-			-	
3665	World Bank		SFM Sustainable Forest Land Management - under the Country Program Framework for Sustainable Forest Land Management	Vietnam	4,195,000	419,500	4,614,500	4,195,000	419,500	4,614,500	
Sub-total Multi-Focal Area/ Corporate Programs					69,501,449	7,149,145	76,650,594	69,501,449	7,145,645	76,647,094	
Total Funding for Projects and Fees					26,658,254	286,795,104	256,986,850	26,267,421	283,254,271		

Notes:

Ref to Para 29 "Joint Summary of the Chairs, Council meeting April 22- 25, 2008" Total amount approved is 283.27 mil compared of actual amounts in Annex A of the Work Program document

Global Environment Facility Trust Fund

**Table 2: Record of Resources Requested for Corporate Budget and Special Initiatives and Resulting Council Decisions
Council Meeting of 05/24/2008**

Corporate Budget and Special Initiatives			
	Amounts Requested for Funding	Amounts Approved by Council	Comments
FY08 Corporate Budget			
GEF Secretariat	13,646,000	13,646,000	
GEF Evaluation Office	3,907,167	3,907,167	
STAP	2,047,000	2,047,000	
Trustee	2,469,000	2,419,000	Council approved \$50,000 of the \$100,000 requested to pay for external audit costs of GEF Agencies.
Sub-total Corporate Budget	22,069,167.00	22,019,167.00	
Special Initiatives			
GEF Secretariat	-	80,000.00	Represents Council approval for the projected costs to review the Report on Compliance of the GEF Agencies on the Implementation of the Recommended Minimum Fiduciary Standards
GEF Evaluation Office	-	-	
STAP	-	-	
Trustee	-	-	
Sub-total Special Initiatives	-	80,000.00	
Total Corporate Budget and Special Initiatives	22,069,167.00	22,099,167.00	

Global Environment Facility Trust Fund
Table 3: Record of Resources Requested by Agency and Resulting Council Decisions
Council Meeting of 04/24/2008

Summary of Council Decisions

tus as of 03/05/2008
tus after Council meeting
nary Date

666,114,128.00
360,760,692.00
24-Apr-08

Amounts Requested for Funding						Amounts Approved by Council						
Agency	Project		Fee	Corporate Budget	Special Initiatives	Total	Project		Fee	Corporate Budget	Special Initiatives	Total
ADB	19,846,450		1,984,645	-	-	21,831,095	19,846,450		1,984,645	-	-	21,831,095
EBRD	18,880,000		1,888,000	-	-	20,768,000	18,880,000		1,888,000	-	-	20,768,000
IADB	6,637,000		686,700	-	-	7,323,700	6,637,000		686,700	-	-	7,323,700
IFAD	21,990,000		2,332,000	-	-	24,322,000	21,990,000		2,328,500	-	-	24,318,500
UNDP	97,048,835		10,113,099	-	-	107,161,934	93,898,835		9,725,766	-	-	103,624,601
UNEP	18,904,200		1,933,274	-	-	20,837,474	18,904,200		1,933,274	-	-	20,837,474
UNIDO	12,609,000		1,260,900	-	-	13,869,900	12,609,000		1,260,900	-	-	13,869,900
World Bank	64,221,363		6,459,636	-	-	70,680,999	64,221,363		6,459,636	-	-	70,680,999
GEF Secretariat	-		-	13,646,000	-	13,646,000	-		-	13,646,000	80,000	13,726,000
GEF Evaluation Office	-		-	3,907,167	-	3,907,167	-		-	3,907,167	-	3,907,167
STAP	-		-	2,047,000	-	2,047,000	-		-	2,047,000	-	2,047,000
Trustee	-		-	2,469,000	-	2,469,000	-		-	2,419,000	-	2,419,000
Total	260,136,848		26,658,254	22,069,167	-	308,864,269	256,986,848		26,267,421	22,019,167	80,000	305,353,436